Intern I Application No PCT/GB2005/002860

			PCT/GB2005	/002860			
A. CLASSII IPC 7	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER G02B3/00 F24J2/06						
Irc /	G02B3/00 1 2432/00						
According to	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification	ation and IPC					
B. FTELDS	SEARCHED						
	currentation searched (classification system followed by classification	on symbols)					
IPC 7	G02B F24J						
Documentati	on searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	uch documents are includ	led in the fields sea	urched			
[							
Electronic da	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data base	se and, where practical s	carch terms used)				
ĺ	ernal , PAJ, WPI Data	•	ŕ				
121 0-1111	iliai , IAJ, WII Data						
İ							
<u> </u>							
C. DOCUMI	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT						
Category *	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of the rele	evant passages		Relevant to claim No			
				<del></del>			
x	WO 96/11365 A (PEREA, MICHEL; IAN	NELLO.	Ì	1,7			
<b>,</b>	DANIEL) 18 April 1996 (1996-04-18			-,,			
Υ	the whole document		1	2-6			
<b>]</b>			j				
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN			1,7			
	vol. 007, no. 215 (M-244), 22 September 1983 (1983-09-22)						
	& JP 58 108359 A (MATSUSHITA DENK	I SANGYO					
1	KK), 28 June 1983 (1983-06-28)		1				
Υ	abstract		ĺ	2-6			
.							
Y	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN			2-4			
	vol. 2003, no. 12, 5 December 2003 (2003-12-05)		İ	•			
,	& JP 2003 324210 A (KARASAWA YOSH	ITAKA),					
	14 November 2003 (2003-11-14)	•					
	abstract						
		,					
	-	·/					
X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C X Patenl family members are listed in annex							
° Special cat	egories of cited documents	<sup>1</sup> T- later document publis	shed after the inter	national filing date			
	nt defining the general state of the art which is not	or pπorrty date and a cited to understand	not in conflict with t the principle or the	he application but ory underlying the			
considered to be of particular relevance invention  "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance, the claimed invention							
filing d	ate  nt which may throw doubts on priority clam(s) or	cannot be considere	d novel or cannot	be considered to urnent is taken alone			
which	aimed invention						
"O" docume	or other special reason (as specified) nt referring to an oral disclosure use exhibition or	document is combin	ed with one or mor	entive step when the			
other r	ncans nt published prior to the international filing date but	ments such combin in the art	ation being obviou	s to a person skilled			
later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same pate			fthe same patent f	amily			
Date of the	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the	international scare	th report			
2	4 October 2005	03/11/2005					
Name and n	nailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer					
l	European Patent Office, P B 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk						
Tcl (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx 31651 cpo nl Rδdig, C							

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)

Intern a Application No
PCT/GB2005/002860

		PCT/GB2005/002860						
C.(Cont invation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT								
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No						
Υ	US 5 367 174 A (BAZILE ET AL) 22 November 1994 (1994-11-22) column 2, line 40 - column 3, line 33	5						
Υ	DE 27 39 201 Al (NEUMANN,SIEGMAR R) 8 March 1979 (1979-03-08) the whole document	6						
Y	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol . 1995, no. 02, 31 March 1995 (1995-03-31) & JP 06 315614 A (AGENCY OF IND SCIENCE & TECHNOL; others: 01), 15 November 1994 (1994-11-15) abstract	6						

In tional application No. PCT/GB2005/002860

Box 11 Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. [X] ciaimsNos.: 1-7 (al 1 partial 1y)  because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. 1 Claims Nos.:  — because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box m Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report
Covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
The additional annual for more approximation by the applicantly protect
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet (2)) (January 2004)

Continuation of Box II. 2

Claims Nos.: 1-7 (all partially)

Present claim 1 is unclear, because the claim actually does not define any structural feature of the claimed device. In particular, the specified features of claim 1 are considered non-limiting, because the expressions "may be made of...", "can be magnidied...", "can be set..." and "may be also built" leave a reader in doubt about the actual features of the device. Thus, it is impossible to determine, whether a given device actually falls under the scope of the claim.

Moreover, the expression "materials that can be magnified" is obscoure and leaves a reader in doubt about the actual structural features of the claimed device. The expression is interpreted as meaning a material having a shape that provides a magnifying optical effect.

While the description vaguely mentions various applications and functional properties of the device, it fails to disclose by which structural features the described effects are actually obtained. Thus, the teaching of the present application does not enable a skilled person to carry out the invention. This view is supported by the fact that no concrete exemplary embodiment is disclosed.

Similar remarks apply to claims 2-7. Furthermore, it appears from the description that independent claims 2, 4-7 are actually meant to further specify the device of claim 1 and are therefore regarded as dependent claims. For the search, claims 2, 4-7 are interpreted in this sense.

In view of the vague and unclear definition of the device and the obscure description, it is impossible to carry out a full search for claims 1-7. The incomplete search is based on the following structural and functional features of the device of claim 1 which appear to be clear from the description:

Namely, the device is

- used to "power the solar device more efficiently"
- used in connection with solar panels
- made of glass or plastic material having a varying thickness.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds Into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

information on patent family members

Interr al Application No
PCT/GB2005/002860

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9611365	Α	18-04-1996	AU BE	3646695 A 1008780 A3	02-05-1996 06-08-1996
JP 58108359	Α	28-06-1983	NONE		
JP 2003324210	A	14-11-2003	NONE		
us 5367174	A	22-11-1994	FR	2686697 Al	30-07-1993
DE 2739201	Al	08-03-1979	us	4221466 A	09-09-1980
JP 06315614	Α	15-11-1994	NONE		